UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,404	03/28/2007	Eddie Riddington	088245-1052	1414
23524 FOLEY & LAR	7590 11/18/201 RDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
150 EAST GILL		BEYEN, ZEWDU A		
P.O. BOX 1497 MADISON, WI 53701-1497			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2461	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/18/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/579,404	RIDDINGTON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ZEWDU BEYEN	2461	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears or	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 November 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (wire for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	s: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the th appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ch the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ed statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prices (a) They raise new issues that would require further considers (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for 	ation and/or search (see NOTE below);
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corres NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se	d 41.33(a)).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	e attached Notice of Non-Compilant American (PTOL-324). e if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided by The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-5. 7-12.38.40.42-44.46.47.49-57. 59-64.69 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	pelow or appended.
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	cient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Noti entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcos showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and vertical transfer of the file	me <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of th REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does 	·
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/S13. Other:	SB/08) Paper No(s)
/Huy D Vu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2461	/Z. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 2461

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the claims language "determining if error checking is required for one or more of the at least two transport channels, wherein the at least two transport channels comprise a set of class A bits associated with a first transport channel and a set of class B bits associated with a second transport channel; computing error check bits for each transport channel that requires error checking, wherein at least a portion of the class A bits comprises a set of error check bits associated with a cyclic redundancy check;" in claims 1, 47, 68, 77; the claim language "locating error check bits associated with a first transport channel of the plurality of transport channels, wherein the plurality of transport channels comprise a set of class A bits associated with the first transport channel and a set of class B bits associated with a second transport channel, wherein at least a portion of the class A bits comprises a set of cyclic redundancy check bits associated with a cyclic redundancy check, and wherein the generic TRAU frame includes, in sequence, the set of class A bits, including the set of cyclic redundancy check bits, and the set of class B bits; error checking the first transport channel based on the located error check bits; " in claims 9,61,72 raise new issues therefore further search and consideration required.